

RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE - January 2023 SESSION

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
1.	Mrs. Anisha R.	Nursing	<p>1.The statement to be modified by retaining the Phenomena of cyberbullying and group - Adolescence, however the dependent variable can be level of cyberbullying, sensibility for cyberbullying and knowledge on cyberbullying. The independent variable – contemplated Nursing interventions – can have an App developed as told by the scholar along with modules.</p> <p>2. The methodology will be – Experimental study – the sampling or Randomization is the choice.</p> <p>3. Risk assessment to be done before selecting the adolescents for the study.</p> <p>4. To modify the proposal accordingly.</p>	Accepted with Recommendation
2.	Mrs. Durga Devi M.	Nursing	<p>1. The independent variable name may be modified to show inclusiveness of phenomena and Dependent variable.</p> <p>2. Objective parameters eg. level of serotonin for a percentage of samples may be included (10%)</p> <p>3. Objectives to be modified and correlation of dependent variables may be included.</p> <p>4. Inclusion & Exclusion criteria to be rewritten considering all background variable influences.</p> <p>5. No harm certification for pumpkinseed utilization.</p> <p>6. Screening for low and moderate memory and sleep quality to be done.</p>	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT

3.	Mrs. Mahalakshmi G.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The phenomena of the study is missing 2. The whole proposal has to be rewritten based on the suggestions given. 	NotAccepted
4.	Mrs. Malathi P.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title to be modified as “Comparative study to assess the Effectiveness of -----” 2. How will you cumulatively report Psychological markers by assessing the data from 4 separate tools. 3. Training in the areas under independent variable components. 4. One control group as III group may be included. 	Accepted with Recommendations
5.	Mrs. Shalini R.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title to start with “Effectiveness of -----” 2. Modify the clinical outcome – including objective parameters as suggested. 3. Operational Definition to be more specific and relevant. 4. Intervention to be highlighted with the novelty of the study. 5. Inclusion criteria to be made more relevant to the study. 6. Feasibility of the intervention to be justified. 	Accepted with Recommendations
6.	Mrs. Arul Malar R	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To change the study as quantitative one as MMR does not match the Objective / Question of Research work. 2. The title should start with Effectiveness / Evaluate. 3. To include Bio-Physiological and Psychological outcomes as dependent variables. 4. The Operational definitions should be specific and complete: Eg: Patients undergoing Spinal Anaesthesia – the duration of surgery / type of sugery to be included etc., 5. No harm certificate to be received from Surgeon or others. 	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT

7.	Mrs. Malarvizhi S.	Nursing	1. The HRQOL – may be mentioned in specific to Urinary incontinence. 2. To done post test at end of 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd month.	Accepted
8.	Mrs. Ando Bindo Rathina S.W.	Nursing	1. No abbreviations in the Title of the study. 2. One more control group may be included without any interventions. 3. Clinical outcome should also include ABG, EFV, Expiratory Tidal volume parameters.	Accepted
9.	Mrs. Swathika R.	Nursing	1. Using the word deliberate for Nursing Management may be assumed ethically wrong. 2. The phenomena for the study is missing. 3. The dependent variable bio-psychological – the biological variable is not strong. There is no Psychological variable seen too.	Not Accepted
10.	Mr. Raja M.	Nursing	1. The intervention protocol can be renamed. 2. The title has to start with “Effectiveness ----- “ 3. Remove mortality rate from clinical outcome. 4. Significant difference – instead of improvement	Accepted
11.	Mrs. Dhanalakshmi R.	Nursing	1. Modify Title as “Effectiveness of Nurse Led interventions on knowledge and modifiable risk factors of Metabolic Syndrome among Adolescents and their parents at selected settings, Chennai”. 2. The whole intervention package has to be modified like – school based Gym, Yoga mudra's, Teacher participation program. 3. No STP as a part of intervention.	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
12	Mrs. Vinodhini	Nursing	1. The Phenomena of stroke prevention is fine, however the statement,	Not Accepted

	Zipporah J.		Methodology and intervention does not correlate. Hence the proposal has to be rewritten and repeated.	
13.	Mrs. Lakshmi Priyadharshini V.R.	Nursing	1. The researcher has no clarity on the dependent variables being studied. 2. The intervention has to be the level of impact on the clinical outcome. 3. One group study is a weaker form of study, hence need to be modified. 4. Rewriting of proposal is required.	Not Accepted
14.	Mrs. Manimehalai M.	Nursing	1. The title can start with “Effectiveness of Mirror -----”. 2. The operational definitions have to be reframed. 3. Data collection tools trustworthiness to be verified 4. The inclusion criteria have to be objective.	Accepted
15.	Mrs. Poornima K.	Nursing	1. The title to be reframed as “Effectiveness of Emotional freedom technique Vs Web based nursing interventions on post-partum blues, parenting stress and postnatal outcome among post caesarian section mothers in selected hospitals Coimbatore”. 2. The Researcher's visit should be the same for both intervention groups.	Accepted
16	Mrs. Nithiya Krithika D.	Nursing	----	Absent
17.	Mrs. Pearls Jentcy M.	Nursing	1. The Title should be “Effectiveness of Nurse Led Filial Therapy on Parent-child interaction and parental stress among mentally challenged children at selected settings”. 2. The filial therapy should be structured one. 3. The data collection tools to be developed and validated. 4. The objective and operational definition should be modified as per the Title.	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
18.	Mrs. Santhiya A	Nursing	1. The title should start with “Effectiveness of Cardio Pulmonary Rehabilitation program on Functional capacity of children underwent cardiac surgery and Parental knowledge on Home Care Management at selected Hospitals, Chennai.	Accepted with Recommendations

			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The operationation definitions only the 4 important concepts from your title. 3. Modify the data collection tool under each dependent variable. 4. Intervention tool – inclusive of the researcher. 	
19.	Mrs Ramani G.	Nursing	<p>The study title should start with “Effectiveness of -----”.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The dependent variable is more subjective hence along with them an additional objective component may be added. 3. The control group - as 3rd group to be added. 4. The data collection tools are incomplete. 5. Standard intervention protocol to be framed. 6. The intervention period to be extended. 	Accepted with Recommendations
20.	Mrs. Mohana S.T.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The title should start with “Effectiveness of Nurses. Empowerment program regarding, Antibiotic Stewardship on Nurses compliance and Patient outcomes in selected Hospital, Chennai. 2. Methodology should include control group. 3. Intervention should include self-learning modules too. 4. Data collection tools should be prepared in references to standard guidelines. 	Accepted with Recommendations
21.	Mrs. Shalin Gnana Priya R.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title should start with “Effectiveness -----” 2. The more objective dependent variable to be included. 3. The data collection tools to be more specific and appropriate. 4. The intervention protocol to be very specific. 5. Selection criteria to be specific. 6. The candidate has to have in-depth and scientific knowledge regarding the topic chosen to continue her research work. 	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
22.	Mrs. Pravina R.	Nursing	-----	Absent
23.	Mrs. Jasimalar P.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objective parameter to be added in dependent variable. 2. Define the level of Psychosomatic disorders in terms of including 	Accepted with Recommendations

			Diabetic (Criteria) and Hypertonic (Criteria). 3. Increase the Age group from 40 years to 60 years.	
24.	Mrs. Kamaleshwari B.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
25.	Mrs.Famna Roohi N.K	Pharmacy	-----	Absent
26.	Mr. Jegadeesh S.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
27.	Mr. E. Abraham Theodore Rajaselwin	Pharmacy	-----	Absent
28.	Mr. Royal Frank P.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
29.	Mrs. Sheela T.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
30.	Mrs. Rabiyyamma S.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
31.	Mr. Suthagar R.	Pharmacy	-----	Accepted
32.	Mrs. Sahayamary F.	Pharmacy	1. The candidate has to show the Synthetic route for all 23 derivatives that she has planned. 2. Pharmacological screening methods explanation was not satisfactory.	Not Accepted
33.	Mrs. Susila K.	Pharmacy	The research work is satisfactory. As the title of the topic is broad, it can be given as “Insilico design, QSAR studies and synthesis of novel N. Mannich base derived heterocyclic lead moieties and their antitubercular activity”.	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
34.	Mrs. Manohari A.	Pharmacy	The research work is satisfactory. As the title of the topic is broad, the topic can be given as “Spectroscopic, Chromatographic and Molecular docking analysis of Flavonoids, Pyrimidine synthesis and Janade Kinase inhibiting anti Rheumatic drugs and their binding interaction with Calf Thymus DNA”.	Accepted with Recommendations

35.	Dr. Srinivasan V.	Siddha	1. Zeta potential – Particle size have to be done. 2. In primary outcome – Excreted kidney stone have to be subject for analysis. 3. In Animal studies Sub-Chronic has to be done.	Accepted with Recommendations
36.	Dr. Sathiya Thilaga B.	Siddha	-----	Absent
37.	Dr. Sankar Devi S.	Siddha	1. The candidate should mention the Siddha reference which equates Naadi and Neikkuri for correleating uterine malignancy. 2. Objectives should be mentioned clearly not vague. 3. Should undergo pilot study for the accessing the viability and potentiality of the study. 4. Possibility of actual study can be conducted to explore the study in Government approved Cancer Center. 5. Based on the results of Pilot studies this proposal can be resubmitted again.	Not Accepted
38.	Dr. Gayatri R.	Siddha	1. In Toxicity studies – Sub-Chronic has to be conducted. 2. Sample size has to increase – 40 Nos. 3. Eligibile Criteria for inclusion of age in study – 40 years – 85 years.	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
39.	Dr. Shakila S.	Siddha	1. In Pre-Clinical studies – Nei-Parameters study has to be included. 2. Group – I control group will be receiving treatment in NIEPMD as per protocol. If in this case, the title should be modified as multicentric trial. (OR) If Group – I control group receives treatment in NIS, the same title can	Accepted with Recommendations

			be retained. 3. Specify the scale for communication function classification.	
40.	Dr. Jayalakshmi J.	Siddha	1. In Analytical studies the following parameters have to be included like FTIR, SEM, TEM, Uv-Vis, Particle size.	Accepted with Recommendations
41.	Dr. Lazha A.	Siddha	1. In title the word Dermatitis should be changed to Eczema and get a new IEC certificate. 2. Sample size should be increased to 60 Nos. 3. In Secondary objective: 4 bullet point – selected word should be deleted. 4. In exclusion criteria: 6 bullet point and 7 bullet point as assessed by the Investigator word should be deleted. 5. Study population should be only from NIS <u>Not from any other centre.</u>	Accepted with Recommendations
42.	Dr. Yavanarani S.	Siddha	-----	Absent
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
43.	Dr.Sri Sakthilogisha M.	Siddha	1. Sample size: In each arm sample size has to increase 74 patients - Each arm. 2. Number of sittings: Weekly thrice for 48 days Instead modify weekly thrice (16 sittings) 3. In reference: Moola Nool should be quoted. 4. For Otradam therapy: SOP to be mentioned with reference. (Either in Ayurveda / Siddha)	Accepted with Recommendations

			5. In Laboratory Assessment: Serum Urea and Creatinine, Uricacid has to be included.	
44.	Dr. Janani Saravana L.	Siddha	1. Moola Nool reference should be quoted for Varmam points. 2. Sample size. Should be increased as (74 Nos.) Each arm should represent 74 Nos.	Accepted with Recommendations
45.	Dr. Sugasini P.	Siddha	1. Title change: Evaluation of the therapeutic effect of selected “Yogasanas or life style modification mentioned in Siddha system for prediabetic individuals. 2. Diet chart have to change according to South Indian Based millet diet. 3. Sample size increase 150 Nos. in each arm. 4. RFT has to include in investigation panel.	Accepted with Recommendations
46.	Dr. Shankar S.	Siddha	1. Chooranam purification done as per Siddha text literature. 2. In Toxicological studies: Sub Chronic studies have to conduct. 3. In Pharmacological studies: Instead of Anti-inflammatory activity studies, the mast cell stabilizing activity should be done.	Accepted with Recommendations
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
47.	Dr. Dharshini Priya G	Siddha	1. In Instrumental analysis: SEM, TEM, Uv - Vis should be done. 2. Dissolution studies for mathirai parameter should be done. 3. In histopathological examination whole brain of 6 animals from each group should be evaluated. 4. As per CARE guidelines: Case series should be done.	Accepted with Recommendations
48.	Dr. Uthaya Ganga R.	Siddha	1. Standardization should be done and compared with Thontha Sura Kiyazham and Thontha Sura Kudineer Chooranam. 2. Docking should be come under Insilico analysis Not under pharmacological studies. 3. Anti-Pyretic test should be changed instead of Brewer's Yeast Induced Pyrexia.	Accepted with Recommendations

			4. As per CARE Guidelines, Case series study should be conducted.	
49.	Dr. Sundaresan J.	Homoeopathy	1. Make a clinical study to compare Animal tissue changes in Nephrolithiasis and Human response with Berberis Vulgaris in 30 cases. 2. Make a study in different potency as the topic it is mentioned that ultra high dilutions potency like 30,200, 1m,10m & Lm potency 0/1	Accepted with Recommendations
50.	Dr. Surabhi K.N.	Homoeopathy	1. Add basic Rheumatological examination to confirm the case of Osteoarthritis like Urea, Uric acid, CRP & RA Creatinine.	Accepted with Recommendations
51.	Dr. Jayasree V.	Homoeopathy	1. The name of the topic is not correlating with primary & secondary objectives of the study. Hence the topic should be changed. 2. The basic blood investigation like Hb, RBC (CBC). 3. New IEC should be submitted.	Accepted with Recommendations.
Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
52.	Dr. Bhuvanewari G.	Homoeopathy	1. The topic should be changed as it follows “The Homoeopathic Management of spasmodic Dysmenorrhea with reduction in PGF2 α level by using Robin Murphy Repertory. 2. No need of control group. 3. Study sample size with 60 cases 4. New IEC should be submitted	Accepted with Recommendations
53	Dr. Bharatraj S.A.	Homoeopathy	1. Group of experts should be included with department of practice of medicine, Paediatrics (SKHMC) and Psychiatry faculty from modern medicine. 2. It should be finalised and informed	Accepted with Recommendations

REMARKS:

ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report along with Provisional Registration fees through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result.

ACCEPTED WITH

RECOMMENDATIONS : Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide (as per the format enclosed)

within 30 working days from the date of publication of results. Further, the date and Session of the Provisional Registration will be fixed after the approval of your compliance report by the members of the Screening Committee

NOT ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit proposals (Four copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of result.

Dr. P. SENTHIL KUMAR
Convenor UAC

Encl:

/True Copy/

Compliance Format

Sd/-
ACADEMIC OFFICER

Format for compliance to remarks of PhD Screening committee,

The Tamil Nadu Dr.MGR Medical University

Title of the Ph.D

Name of the candidate

Faculty

Compliance to remarks (PhD Screening Committee, dd/mm/yyyy)

#	Remarks	PhD Scholar's response	Page number(s) and Line number (s)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

