

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
01	Dr. A C SOMASUNDARAM	MEDICAL SUPER SPECIALITIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The Candidate can focus on one disease - e.g NMO * The sample numbers can be increased * He can have 75 cases on initial Training set [using well characterised NMO cases] and an additional 50-75 cases as Test set. This will help in identifying the value of electrophysiological tests 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
02	Dr. R LAKSHMI NARASIMHAN	MEDICAL SUPER SPECIALITIES		ACCEPTED
03	Dr. P SARAVANA KUMAR	MEDICAL SPECIALITIES		ACCEPTED
04	Dr. C PADMAPRIYADARSINI	MEDICAL SPECIALITIES		ACCEPTED
05	Dr. DEVENDRA AGRAHARAM	SURGICAL SPECIALITIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> *1 In cases of Polytrauma eg. Abdominal or Chest trauma how does this influence the biochemical marker under study? *2 Arterial Blood Gas analysis is a must *3 In Trauma with DM & DKA (Diabetes & Diabetic Ketoacidosis) how do the lactate levels vary and how do they influence the outcome? 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT		
05	Dr. DEVENDRA AGRAHARAM	SURGICOL SPECIALITIES	<p>a) Blood Transfusion</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <p>Fresh Whole Blood</p> <p>Old Whole Blood</p> <p>PRBC</p> <p>Fresh Frozen Plasma</p> <p>Platelets</p> <p>How do they influence the biochemical markers in your study?</p> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <p>How does it influence the biochemical marker?</p> </td> </tr> </table> <p>b) No.units of Blood Platelets or FFP transfusion how do they influence the biochemical markers?</p> <p>*4 Delay in presentation of compound fracture - how do they influence biochemical markers?</p> <p>*5 a) Muscle damage leading to Hyperkalemic acidosis b) Muscle Mass Index</p> <p>*6 In Hypoxia leading to acidosis, how do the altered lactate levels influence the study?</p> <p>*7 Why upper limbs are not included in the study?</p> <p>*8 What is the cost effectiveness?</p>	<p>Fresh Whole Blood</p> <p>Old Whole Blood</p> <p>PRBC</p> <p>Fresh Frozen Plasma</p> <p>Platelets</p> <p>How do they influence the biochemical markers in your study?</p>	<p>How does it influence the biochemical marker?</p>	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
<p>Fresh Whole Blood</p> <p>Old Whole Blood</p> <p>PRBC</p> <p>Fresh Frozen Plasma</p> <p>Platelets</p> <p>How do they influence the biochemical markers in your study?</p>	<p>How does it influence the biochemical marker?</p>					
06	Dr.B GAYATHRI	BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES	<p>* Exclusion criteria CRF (CKD) should be included</p> <p>* To ensure uniformity of the brand, dosage and compliance of Dyslipidemic drugs</p>	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS		

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
07	Dr.R SECUNDA	BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES	<p>Dr. Secunda presented her Ph.D proposal aimed at evaluating the differentiation on mesenchymal stromed cell (MSC) to hepatocytes like cells. This work is being done at the Stanley Medical College as part of their ICMR funded advanced center for hepatic regeneration. In this proposal Dr. Secunda plans to take three samples of Wharton's jelly derived MSC and differentiate them into hepatocyte like cells under three different conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Plastic adherent 2 Collegen Coated 3 Decellularized extra cellular matrix (ECM) from MSC <p>The differentiated hepatocyte would be then characterized by assessing various parameters that are typical of hepatic cells.</p> <p>The committee listened to the presentation first and then discussed the research plan with the candidate and made the following observation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The existing literature in the subject has not been adequately reviewed to define the current state of knowledge and the deficiencies in this field. It was mentioned that considerable work has already been done in this area which should be carefully checked before designing the current research plan. * The novelty of the work be proposed needs to be clearly defined in the lifht of the above. 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
07	Dr.R SECUNDA	BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES	<p>* The core hypothesis that hepatic ECM will be superior in achieving hepatocytic differentiation from MSC needs to be backed with preliminary data or literature review suggesting the same. More critically this needs to be defined within the experimental model to show that those conditions have been achieved in the model. It will be important to recognize that hepatocyte differentiation happens in the fetal liver whose ECM conditions may need to be evaluated.</p> <p>* The extent of hepatocyte differentiation will need to be evaluated with quantitation as well as hepatocyte markers to show superiority of one over the other.</p> <p>* The sample size will depend on the results that are obtained and will almost certainly be more than three that has been currently planned. This needs to be given further attention.</p> <p>* To show the functionality of these hepatocyte like cells it would be good to test them in an animal model.</p> <p>It will be important also to show the functional effect of such cells which has major limitation of applying cells differentiated from MSC's into regenerating organ function. This is a very significant issue that the candidate should discuss with her guides. This could seriously limit the applicability of this kind of finding with in vitro differentiation work.</p> <p>This candidate should resubmit her proposal with suitable modifications to address these issues as best as possible for re-evaluation.</p>	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
08	DR. JITHU V JAMES	BASIC SCIENCES	* To be accepted after getting Animal Ethical committee clearance letter	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
09	DR. JOHN PAUL DEMOSTHENES	BASIC SCIENCES	* Review and sorting sample size * Reconsider use of Peptide pools can replace with simple antigen for stimulation * Total volume of blood to be mentioned * Relevance of HIV mutations to expected outcome	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
10	Dr. S MARY PRINCESS SULEKA	SIDDHA		ACCEPTED
11	Dr. MEENAKSHI SUNDARAM	SIDDHA	* To increase the period of observation to 90 days	ACCEPTED
12	Ms. B VASUKI	PHARMACY	* Restrict to few compounds (30-40) * Pharmacological activity to be focussed on any one most relevant to the synthesized compound * Acute toxicity can be performed if required * Ethical committee clearance (IAEC) and provide ethical committee CPCSEA nominee approved by CPCSEA	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
13	Ms. M SUDHA	PHARMACY	* Ethical committee clearance to be obtained * To provide CPCSEA nominee name of full list of IAEC Members	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
14	Ms. PRIYANKA SINHA	PHARMACY	* Animal Experiments can be considered with different model (large animal eg. Dog) or The study can be concluded with invitro drug release * Ethical committee clearance to be obtained	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
15	Mr. P BABU	PHARMACY	* suggested to restrict the work to anyone activity and one target * Title to be changed accordingly * To provide IAEC clearance with CPCSEA nominee	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
16	Mr. P SIVASUBRAMANIAN	PHARMACY	* Clarification on animal usage both in Biochemical and Pharmacological experiments. Sacrifice of animals twice ethically not be allowed * Eithical Committee Certificate and CPCSEA nominee list * One plant with compound isolation can be considered	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
17	Ms. P NIRMALA	PHARMACY	* Restrict to one plant * Phramacological studies can be focused on Anti thyroid and Anti fertility activity * Title can be focussed	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
18	Mr. S MOHANRAJ	PHARMACY	* Ethical Committee clearance and CPCSEA nominee list	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
19	Ms. C. SASI SULOCHANA	NURSING	* The proposal is poorly written. It needs to be completely modified	NOT ACCEPTED
20	Ms. P TAMILSELVI	NURSING		ACCEPTED
21	Ms. S KALAISELVI	NURSING		ACCEPTED
22	Ms. D SUGANTHI	NURSING		NOT ACCEPTED
23	Ms. D RAJESWARI	NURSING		ACCEPTED
24	Ms. MARY ANBARASI JOHNSON	NURSING		ACCEPTED
25	Ms. C ARAVINDA GNANA SELVAMANI	NURSING		NOT ACCEPTED

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
26	Ms. R JAMUNA RANI	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The Aim of the study has to be more focussed. [The Impact of physical variables on psychological and physiological variable need not be included in the study] * The well being questionnaire and satisfaction scale has to be redrafted in such a way that it suits the study population * The Homogeneity of the control and study group has to be put down clearly in the study * Ethical clearance has to be taken from the setting * Validation of the Intervention schedule [Avoidance of monotony to be taken care] 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
27	Ms. V PRABA	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Sample size to be recorded based on interventional trial design * Bias to be overcome by randomisation * Fasting Blood Sugar/H6 A₁C -> to be measured * Glycerine Index - term -> to be deleted 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
28	Ms. P KASTHURI	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Training of the trainers for a team of Nurses 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
29	Ms. M KAVITHA	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Inclusion of suggestion to be standardized in terms of level of complexity, duration, etc., 	NOT ACCEPTED
30	Ms. V SENBAHAVALLI	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Mention which grade of hypertension * standardize the drugs * choose a different area - other than served by Community Health Nursing to avoid "over focussing" 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
31	Ms. C AJITHA RETNAM	NURSING	* Diet recall questionarre to be standardized for adolescent * Parent to be included in all session	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
32	Ms. S R RAJITHA	NURSING	* Outcome Reduction * "Control" -> to delele the row * Age (suggested) : 40-58 yrs	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
33	Ms. J GRACIA	NURSING	* Medical fitness to be obtained * Medical certificate to be excluded	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION
34	Ms.K V ASWATHY	NURSING	* Time to Diabetes from Pre-diabetic study * Reason for complains and Non-complaine may be related in the sample population	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION
35	Ms. L STELLA MARY	NURSING	* Virtual reality as a Methodology is espensive * Is not economical for community use * Simple walking, jogging have been already demonstrated for benefit. Hence, role of VR in community is not justified	NOT ACCEPTED
36	Ms. JASMIN SHYLAJA	NURSING	* Interaction between fenugreek antidiabetic drugs need be studied * Categorisation of drugs and homogeneity of group need be specified	NOT ACCEPTED

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
37	Ms. P FEMILA	NURSING	* No novelty in the study * Green Tea and Aerobic exercises have been studied very well.	NOT ACCEPTED
38	Ms. T ANGEL PRIYA	NURSING	* Type of cancer, Stage of cancer - not specified * Guided imagery training by the investigator need be certified	NOT ACCEPTED
39	Ms. C GRAZY	NURSING	* Age group - define in a sample range * Time to pneumonia from O day of ventilation * Causes to be stratified and homogeneous causes to be choosen	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION
40	Ms. V S BERYL JULIET	NURSING		ACCEPTED
41	Ms. R AARTHYSOODI	NURSING		ACCEPTED
42	Ms. C SHEEBA	NURSING		ACCEPTED
43	Ms. S KAVITHA	NURSING		ACCEPTED
44	Ms. V SHIRLEY SATHYA	NURSING	* PMR - Traning and Certification * QOL / Satisfaction Index * Dose of Analysesics	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION
			to be included as outcome measurers	

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2014 SESSION

S.NO.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
45	Ms. S ANNIE CHANDRA	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * OP. Definition of effectiveness * USG - Chest size change follows yoga and physical Excercise * More Extensive list review * Novelty element is to be included 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION
46	Ms. J RAJI	NURSING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * References to Mechanism of action Soya on Estrogen Beta receptors * Practiced issues concerning administration to volunteers / participants 	ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION

ACCEPTED:

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT THE JOINING REPORT THROUGH THE GUIDE WITHIN 10 WORKING DAYS FROM THE DATE OF PUBLICATION OF THE RESULT.

ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION:

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT THE COMPLIANCE REPORT THROUGH THE GUIDE ON OR BEFORE 31.01.2015

NOT ACCEPTED:

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT PROPOSALS (FOUR COPIES) THROUGH THE GUIDE WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF PUBLICATION OF THIS RESULT.

Sd./-

VICE CHANCELLOR