

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 01 | DR. P. SARAVANAKUMAR | MEDICAL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Change of study population – two different tribal groups ➤ Add research question, expand methodology, analysis plan, use standard scales, add focus group discussion guide, food security scale, 24 hours dietary recall and recalculate sample size ➤ Candidate may resubmit the proposal | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 02 | Dr. R.ARUN RAGHAVAN | MEDICAL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Instead of premixed insulin, premixed-analogs may be included | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 03 | Dr. GOEL ASHISH ANILKUMAR | MEDICAL | | ACCEPTED |
| 04 | Dr.SAMITH BABU A SHETTY | UNDER GRADUATE MEDICINE & SURGERY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Suggested Modification of study title | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 05 | Dr. K SATHEESH | BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Imaging Technnology to assess Beta cell mass and function may be incorporated if possible | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 06 | Dr. JOE VARGHESE | BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES | | ACCEPTED |
| 07 | DR. RAMANUJAM NARAYANAN | BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES | | ACCEPTED |
| 08 | Dr. VINITHA RAMACHANDRAN | DENTISTRY | | ACCEPTED |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 09 | Dr. S MARY PRINCESS SULEKHA | SIDDHA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ “Siddha Name” of the study plant is to be included in the title ➤ Chronic Toxicity of <i>Alpinia galonga</i> has to be assessed. ➤ What is the main aim of the research? – whether to study the antioxidant effect of <i>Alpinia galonga</i> (or) its effect against dialecess induced oxidative stress keeping the main aim in focus, to remodel the study design. 2 sources of stress – (restraint & diabetes) need not be applied on rats. ➤ Brief description of statistical methods to be applied – has to be included | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 10 | Dr. S NATARAJAN | SIDDHA | | ACCEPTED |
| 11 | Dr. P ELANKANI | SIDDHA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Description of pre clinical trial is NOT included. Clearance of JAEC is required for pre clinical trial | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 12 | Dr. M MEENAKSHI SUNDARAM | SIDDHA | ➤ Evaluation of research proposal was not done since there is disparity (difference) in the study title. (Eligibility Certificate was obtained for Ayurvedhi Choornam for Pandu Noi but, the study is to be carried out for “ Herbal Formulations ” (Madhulai Manappagu) | CANDIDATE TO RE-APPEAR FOR SCREENING COMMITTEE |
| 13 | Dr. S SUDHA MAHESWARI | SURGERY AND SURGICAL SPECIALITIES | | ACCEPTED |
| 14 | Dr. P VIJAYA KRISHNAN | SURGERY AND SURGICAL SPECIALITIES | ➤ Adenoid Hypertrophy is a common entity in young age group to give true effects of syndrome study should have less than second grade Adenoid case with Ahore Grade Adenoid may be excluded | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 15 | Dr. D GANDHIRAJ | BASIC SCIENCES | ➤ The candidate is not clear in the definitions of the topic, sample size, research methodology. Suitable guidelines have been given to modify the proposal and resubmit | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 16 | Dr. B SHIRLY SUZANA | BASIC SCIENCES | ➤ To assure the study objectives the design has to be modified and some clinical/epidemiological inputs are required. Candidate may incorporate these suggestion & proceed. | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|--------------------------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 17 | Dr.P ROBINSON | BASIC SCIENCES | ➤ Minor suggestion is to remove the word "India" and restrict the study to specimen from Vellor / Tamil Nadu | ACCEPTED |
| 18 | Dr. B YAMUNA DEVI | BASIC SCIENCES | | ACCEPTED |
| 19 | Dr. J RANJITH | BASIC SCIENCES | ➤ Title may specifically mention "India, Sri Lanka and Nepal" instead of South East Asia | ACCEPTED |
| 20 | Dr. P AGILA KUMARI | BASIC SCIENCES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ To clarify whether only intrusic resistance mechanism will be explored or more comprehensive? ➤ How was sample size calculated . What is the basis of prevalence used to calculate SS? ➤ To collect more clinical details and describe patient selection procedures | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 21 | Dr. S MARY JOSEPHINE PRISCILLA | BASIC SCIENCES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Flow diagram of methodology should specify 'follow-up' details <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Periodicity - Intervention / assessment at each follow up ➤ Mention about methods (laboratory) briefly for estimation of the inflammatory markers ➤ How the 'sample size' was arrived at? | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|------------------------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 22 | Dr. B RAM MOHAN | BASIC SCIENCES | | NOT APPEARED |
| 23 | Dr.B.P.V SUNANDA | BASIC SCIENCES | | NOT APPEARED |
| 24 | Mr.AITHAMRAJU SATISH CHANDRA | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reduce the number of plants to 3 ➤ Concentrate on isolation part of the plant | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 25 | Mr.A ANANDHASAYANAM | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ To add a new biometer ➤ Verification of Questionnaire on Indian context ➤ Other parameter of pharmacologically | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 26 | Ms.S SARASWATHI | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Suggested a change of trimetazadine ➤ Retain metropolis | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 27 | Ms.SHAKILA BANU | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Use of superior software auto doc tools ➤ Use PK assay suggested ➤ Tumor assay suggested | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 28 | Ms.P MARIYA PRAVEEN MANI | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reducing the number of disease models ➤ Identification of facility to evaluate for phyto somal evaluation | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|--------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 29 | Mr.G SIVAKUMAR | PHARMACY | ➤ Pharmaceutical evaluation to be restricted to Atherosclerosis | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 30 | Ms. M V SARANYA | PHARMACY | | NOT APPEARED |
| 31 | Mr.M PITCHAI PILLAI | PHARMACY | ➤ To increase the depth of study by adding serum estimation of Ketoconazole standard formulation of absorbing the organ toxicity profile | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 32 | Mr.NATHE KIRAN RAMESH | PHARMACY | ➤ Not accepted because of lack of justification by bringing out current deficiencies of the available analytical methods for the drugs the candidate chosen | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 33 | Mr.R SIVASAKTHI | PHARMACY | ➤ Must be a cohort study with a follow-up ➤ Include some biomarkers follow-up | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 34 | Mr.J SAM JOHNSON UDAYA CHANDER | PHARMACY | ➤ Decide the sample size follow-up cohort ➤ A biometer to be included | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 35 | Mr.SIRAM KARTHIK | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 36 | Mr.G VENKATESH | PHARMACY | ➤ Title Should Reflect The Work Being Proposed (Eg: Role of PTH ₂ agonists & antagonists on NTs, IMs & cognition in Neurological disorders | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 37 | Mr.A CAROLINE GRACE | PHARMACY | ➤ To clarify the use of the term PILOT DRUG on title | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|--------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 38 | Ms. P RAMA | PHARMACY | ➤ Change the biometer into a novel one | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 39 | Ms.D VANI | PHARMACY | ➤ Choose a drug with a short duration of action but a longer duration is therapeutically desired | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 40 | Mr.FAISAL MOHAMMED ALI ABDALLA | PHARMACY | ➤ Animal ethics approval to state safety levels available ➤ Work allowed only in BSL2/BSL3 facilities only | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 41 | Mr.ADAPA SATHISH KUMAR | PHARMACY | ➤ The compounds may be purchased rather than extraction isolates | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 42 | Mr.KAKAD PRAKASH RAMDAS | PHARMACY | ➤ To clarify the method of evaluation thro 'animal meld' | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 43 | Mr.P VINOTH KUMAR | PHARMACY | ➤ Not accepted because novelty & depth for a doctorl work lacking | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 44 | Mr.V LALITHA | PHARMACY | ➤ Title to reflex work | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 45 | Mr.C ASHWIN | PHARMACY | ➤ State clearly the basis of work | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 46 | Ms.K SONIA | PHARMACY | ➤ Give basis clearly ➤ To include mass spectra studies | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 47 | Ms.R SUMATHI | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 48 | Mr.A DINESH RAJA | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 49 | Ms.B R ABHIRAMA | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 50 | Ms.S GOMATHI | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 51 | Ms.N KIRUTHIGA | PHARMACY | ➤ Narrow down to related groups of disease ➤ Increase the depth of anti oxidant evaluation | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|-----------------------|------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 52 | Ms.A ABIRAMI | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Extraction too challenging ➤ Hence suggested buy-off sale & continue work ➤ Confirm anticancer activity in cell line & proceed | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 53 | Mr.J VENKATESWARAN | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 54 | Mr.C A SURESH KUMAR | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Title to change more comprehensive ➤ More sophisticated tool like autodos | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 55 | Mr.R KARTHIKEYAN | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 56 | Ms.R MEERA | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Submit Rationale for multiple methods of evaluation ➤ Greater depth of anticancer evaluation | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 57 | Ms.S SASIKUMAR | PHARMACY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Lack of overall clarity on his proposal | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 58 | Mr.B EDWIN JOSE | PHARMACY | | ACCEPTED |
| 59 | Ms.NESA SATHYA SATCHI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 60 | Ms.KAVIMANI M | NURSING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ To add the word overweight in the title of the study | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 61 | Ms.S MALARVIZHI | NURSING | | ABSENT |
| 62 | Ms.NEETHISELVAM | NURSING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The word "client" to be replaced by "patients" | ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 63 | Ms.S CECILIA MARY | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 64 | Ms.M HELEN | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 65 | Ms.D SASIKALA | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| 66 | Ms.P JEGA JULLET | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 67 | Ms.S RAJATHI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 68 | Ms.J RUTHRANI PRINCCLY | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 69 | Ms.T AMUTHA | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 70 | Ms.V VIJAYALAKSHMI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 71 | Ms.D NESALIN SUJI | NURSING | | NOT APPEARED |
| 72 | Ms. M SUDHA DEVI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 73 | Ms. N SHEELAVATHI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 74 | Ms. M ANANDHA KALYANI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 75 | Ms. A AMUTHA | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 76 | Ms. N ADLIN SHINJIJA | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 77 | Ms. M DALY CHRISTABEL | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 78 | Mr. T BALAGURU | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 79 | Ms. P NEELA | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |

THE TAMIL NADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
RESULT OF THE Ph.D. SCREENING COMMITTEE – JANUARY 2014 SESSION

| S.NO. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | SPECIALITY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE | RESULT |
|-------|-----------------------|------------|---|--------------|
| 80 | Ms. M KAVITHA | NURSING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Problem statement not clear ➤ Research methodology including research design & sample selection not appropriate ➤ Outcome variables not mentioned | NOT ACCEPTED |
| 81 | Ms. S SHANBAGAVALLI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 82 | Ms. J BHARATHI | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 83 | Ms. C JASMINE | NURSING | | ACCEPTED |
| 84 | Ms. M DARJILIN | NURSING | | NOT APPEARED |

ACCEPTED :

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT THE JOINING REPORT THROUGH THE GUIDE WITHIN 10 WORKING DAYS FROM THE DATE OF PUBLICATION OF THIS RESULT.

ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS :

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT THE COMPLIANCE REPORT THROUGH THE GUIDE ON OR BEFORE 30.06.2014

NOT ACCEPTED :

CANDIDATES ARE INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT PROPOSALS (FOUR COPIES) THROUGH THE GUIDE WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF PUBLICATION OF THIS RESULT.

Sd./-
VICE CHANCELLOR