

RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE – July 2021 SESSION

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
1.	Dr. Elizabeth Vinod	Basic Medical Sciences	----	Accepted
2.	Dr. Tintu Varghese	Basic Medical Sciences	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Collection of samples prospectively to be carried out by the Researcher 2. For calculation of sample size, recent studies to be included 3. To study the impact of co-infections on the effectiveness of Rotavirus Vaccines, either one Vaccine can be taken or equitable distribution of samples from both the vaccines to be done 4. To include various conventional / simple / economical / Rapid diagnostic methods available as on date to identify the enteropathogens in addition to the single molecular diagnostic test chosen for the research work. 5. As the research work involves paediatric population, a paediatrician as co-guide may be considered. 	Accepted with Recommendations
3.	Mrs.Nirmala.M	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many Research Publications are available in the context of the parameters/variables proposed in the study. 2. Tools used to assess predictors and co-morbidities are not effective. 3. Need major revision of the statement & Methodology 	Not Accepted
4.	Mrs.Jeyalakshmi.S	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify the Objectives and hypotheses. 2. Modify the methodology (Design, setting, sampling sample size calculation and also the methods of data collection). 3. Obtain setting permission 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
5.	Mrs. V Prema	Pharmacy	----	Accepted
6.	Mrs. S Poongothai	Pharmacy	Not focused approach hence likely to be non specific	Not Accepted
7.	Mr. R Neelamegarajan	Pharmacy	The final formulation is suggested to compare with standard care of treatment	Accepted with Recommendation
8.	Mr. Manivasakam.P	Pharmacy	The final formulation is suggested to compare with standard care of treatment	Accepted with Recommendation
9.	Mrs. Rajeswari.R	Pharmacy	Research theme is non specific. Active ingredients have to be isolated and studied instead of general leaf extracts. A more focused study is likely to succeed.	Not Accepted
10.	Mrs.Baby Roselin.R	Pharmacy	----	Accepted
11.	Mrs. Kanagadurga.M	Nursing	The proposed study is KAP type, without novelty	Not Accepted
12.	Mrs. Stephina Immaculate.V	Nursing	Title – To include 'Preschoolers' instead of 'children' Research design – Change as cross over design Sample size – Decide and explain how many samples you will include per day Intervention – Caw think of a different name Variable – Add “compliance to procedure' also as variable Tools: – 1. Develop and validate a compliance tool to use in study 2. Select another appropriate tool to measure anxiety Hypothesis – To be modified.	Accepted with Recommendation
13.	Ms. Saravanan.S	Nursing	Proposal is provisionally accepted with the condition that the candidate submits approval letters from appropriate authorities for data collection. Validate and standardize the intervention Hypothesis – Please add/collapse into 3 or 4 Tool – Emotional regulation tool has to be validated before study Information sheet, consent and assent forms to be submitted.	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
14.	Mrs. Manimozhi.R	Nursing	The proposal study is KAP type, without novelty	Not Accepted
15.	Mrs. Parimala Devi.L	Nursing	<u>Need Modifications on the following points:</u> Objectives – Remove 1 & 2, club 3 & 4 Randomization – Suggest opaque covers methods To confirm guidelines on using devices in research by regulatory bodies such as ICMR	Accepted with Recommendation
16.	Mrs.Suja.T	Nursing	<u>Need Major modifications:</u> 1. Hypothesis – change as research hypotheses 2. Intervention – Need to give reference to justify as intervention 3. 1 st objective can be to estimate prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in this population 4. Inclusion – Remove inclusion 3 Suggest including patients with severe neuropathy 5. Assumption – change assumption 6. Control – what will be done? 7. Randomization – To look and revise Randomization should be explained 8. Sampling and sample size – Calculation of sample size to be changed (effect size) 9. Data Collection should be modified. Analysis plan has to be included	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
17.	Ms.Manju.G	Nursing	Assumptions – Please modify Inclusion criteria – Remove – 4 Methods – who will do the post test? Please explain in methods Change as prescribed step up exercises Education has to be added	Accepted with Recommendation
18.	Mrs.Pappu.B	Nursing	<u>Need Major Revision</u> 1. The condition fibromyalgia is non-specific and therefore the diagnosis is confusing, difficult 2. Need a diagnosis by Physician 3. Source of adequate sample with confirmed diagnosis is needed. So suggest change in setting. 4. Sample size need to be recalculated 5. Variables and write up – need to be indepth Include novel, evidence based intervention 6. Outcome / dependent variables are unclear. Fibromyalgia itself cannot be a variable.	Accepted with Recommendation
19.	Ms. Gopal Muthuram	Nursing	<u>Need major revision in proposal. To rewrite proposal including the following suggestion.</u> Variables are not clearly defined and are not tangible – to clarify. Tools to measure all variables are inappropriate and needs to be revised and validated Objectives have to be modified – To be clubbed Methodology – need to be explained well especially the details of data collection, who and when the various data will be collected need to be explained Sample size calculation – need to be done & justified	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
20.	Dr.Sudarsana.R	Homoeopathy	Assessment of Thyroid function test should be done at the end of every third month instead of six month.	Accepted with Recommendation
21.	Dr.C. Sherin Sheeba	Homoeopathy	----	Accepted
22.	Dr.D. Justin Jose	Homoeopathy	His clinical knowledge is poor and has no concept about the study.	Not Accepted

Instructions:-

ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report along with necessary fees through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result.

ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS : Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide within 30 working days from the date of publication of results. Further, the date and Session of the Provisional Registration will be fixed after the approval of your compliance report by the members of the Screening Committee.

NOT ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to re submit the modified proposals (4 copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of result.

Sd/-
Prof. Dr. SUDHA SHESHAYYAN
VICE-CHANCELLOR

//True Copy//

ACADEMIC OFFICER (FAC)