

THE TAMIL NADU Dr.M.G.R.MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE – JULY' 2017 SESSION

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
1.	Dr.Suceena Alexander	Medical		Accepted
2.	Dr.Arun Kumaran	Medical	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Project can focus on Diabetes Melitus (DM-T2) alone. 2. There can be additional arm of controls – offsprings of Healthy women without DM/Other risk factors. 3. Need better literature search to get information on risk of GDM to the offsprings developing T2DM. 4. Questionnaire needs Diet, Exercise and other life style factors to be captured. This can be used for suggesting life style modifications for the participants in the study. 5. The proposal needs to be re-written and submitted. It is not approved now. 6. The objectives, methodology to be re-visited including the sample size yield. 	Not Accepted
3	Dr.S.Malini	Medical	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Age limit above 50 years – Association of depression with dementia is minimal in the age group 50-65 years. 2. Diagnosis should be by ICD – 10 3. Depressive disorder has cognitive deficits and is known as pseudo dementia and time should be given for improvement of depression to make a diagnosis of dementia. 4. Duration should be four years. 5. The impact of drug treatment for major depressive illness over the development of Diabetes mellitus and dementia should also be included. 	Accepted With Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
4	Dr.K.S.Sudha	Medical	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study period, place, definition of control, recruitment mode of control formula for sample size calculation, reference for assumption in sample size calculation to be mentioned. 2. Pilot study as a prelude to the Ph.D. research including total Bisphenols and Bisphenols A (other Bisphenols) in population will help with fine tuning the methodological approach. 3. Case-Control study - inclusion criteria for cancers can limit to obvious sporadic cancer cases (excluding possible hereditary cancer cases – family history; early onset cases less than 45 years of age). 4. Sample size to be increased in study population cases can be 200 and control size to be increased to at least three times of the study group. (i.e. 600). 5. Correlate the Bisphenol levels with clinical and histopathological presentation and ER, PR & HER2 status. 6. Since Epigenetics study is not potential / feasible due to small sample size, the title can be modified accordingly. 	Not Accepted
5	Dr.Niveditha	Medical		Absent
6	Mrs.Y.Mary Rebecca	Basic Sciences	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study should be carried out in a Government Hospital where the drugs are issued free of cost, so as to comply with ethical norms. 2. Method of quantitative determination of the drugs in the study to be provided. 	Accepted With Recommendation
7	Mrs.Jothy Sharma	Basic Scienses		Absent
8	Mrs.M.Sudha	Pharmacy		Accepted
9	Mrs.S.Vedhapal Jeyamani	Pharmacy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No clarity on compliance with ethical norms on obtaining patient's consent for getting the prescription. 2. No clarity on how to obtain prescriptions across the state. 	Not Accepted

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
10.	Mrs.Deepika Patel	Pharmacy		Absent
11.	Mrs.Anbuselvi Sellappan	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Background and need for study not strong. 2. Strong evidence to support the effectiveness of intervention is absent. 3. Too many interventions clubbed together. May not be able to establish which one influenced the outcome. 4. How is the club of interventions going to be implemented – No intervention protocol. 5. Labour outcome → Mode of delivery and duration of labour which are going to be measured are not dependent on any external factors. 6. Sample size calculations → not given. 7. Methodology has to be written in detail. 	Not Accepted
12.	Mrs.C.Deborah Packiajothi	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many terms are unclear and not defined to simplify. 2. To change/modify topic as “Effectiveness of simulation based teaching intervention on clinical competencies of Nurses regarding infection control procedures.” 3. To change setting to private hospitals. 	Accepted With Recommendation
13.	Mrs.G.Umamaheswari	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample size calculations has to be done. 2. To remove the leisure time activities from the intervention. 3. Intervention protocol to be given in detail. 	Accepted With Recommendation
14.	Mrs.D.Gifta Praba Veda Selvi	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Researcher has to calculate sample size as per procedure and the expected effect. 2. Need to establish how the intervention is going to be validated and standardized so that objectivity is maintained during intervention. 3. Modify inclusion criteria to include all adolescents. 4. Suggest including schools representing urban and rural or to ensure that the population in control and experimental groups are homogeneous. 5. The study profile size and profile should be similar. 	Accepted With Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
15.	Mrs.K.Ramalakshmi	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objectives and hypothesis can be clubbed (Maximum of 4). 2. Patients with cardiac failure → Need to be defined clearly. 3. To include patients using convenient sampling and then random allocation. 4. Sample size calculation has to be included. 	Accepted With Recommendation
16.	Mrs.S.Shyamalagrace	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample size calculation has to be included. 2. Change age group as adults with Type II diabetes. 3. Simple random sampling can be done to include patients. 4. Dependent variable – include as effect on risk score rather than diabetic foot ulcer prevention. 	Accepted With Recommendation
17.	Mrs.P.N.Viji	Nursing		Absent

ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result along with the necessary fees.

ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATION: Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide within 30 working days from the date of publication of results.

NOT ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit proposals (Four copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of this result.