

RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE - JULY 2023 SESSION

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
1.	Dr. Nandhini E	Siddha	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intervention should be changed as Sikkanjar Manapagu 2. Chronic toxicity should be done instead of sub acute toxicity 3. Cardiac markers should be included in Invivo-study 	Accepted with Recommendation
2.	Dr. Jeneffa Rose Priya T.	Siddha	Based on the Animal studies the Clinical dosing and schedule should be incorporated in the outcome.	Accepted
3.	Dr. Murugesan S.	Siddha	--	Accepted
4.	Dr. Varalakshmi R.	Siddha	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rationale has to be strengthened with the citation of relevant literature for the interventions proposed. 2. Rephrase the statement of objective (Primary and secondary) as per the hypothesis(es) being tested. 3. Rework on the sample size as per the primary objective/hypothesis (specifically comparisons of interest; effect size hypothesized). 4. Identify and designate a trial monitor for data safety. 	Accepted with Recommendation
5.	Dr. Lakshmanaraj C.	Siddha	--	Accepted
6.	Dr. Sathyaseela R.	Siddha	--	Absent
7.	Dr. Pon Malar E.	Siddha	--	Accepted

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
8.	Dr. Hema Suresh	Homoeopathy	1. Title is not matching with proposed objective. 2. The sample size proposed is not enough to achieve the proposed objective. 3. Study design and settings needs to be redefined.	Accepted with Recommendation
9.	Dr. Sruthi Krishna	Homoeopathy	Sample size needs to be doubled.	Accepted
10.	Dr. C. Alagu Pandiaraj	Homoeopathy	1. Title should be specified in relation to the propose objective. 2. Insufficient literature / data and rationale should be rectified. 3. Fertility outcome may be included in the study.	Accepted with Recommendation
11.	Dr. K. Selvaraj	Homoeopathy	--	Accepted
12.	Mr. Vijayaganesh M	Pharmacy	Incomplete proposal needs revision.	Not Accepted
13.	Mr. Udhayakumar E.	Pharmacy	Methodology needs strengthening in addition to adding invitro studies.	Not Accepted
14.	Mr. M. Jegadheeswaran	Pharmacy	--	Accepted
15.	Ms. Thenmozhi A.	Pharmacy	Suggested to continue the project with extract alone.	Accepted with Recommendation
16.	Mr. Jaikumar R.D.	Pharmacy	Resubmit the proposal with modification.	Not Accepted
17.	Ms. Saranya S.	Pharmacy	Title to be changed as “anti-Leukemia agents” at the end.	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
18.	Ms. Leela Priyanka G.C.	Pharmacy	Methodology section needs more details and strengthening.	Accepted with Recommendation
19.	Ms. Kaleeshwari R.	Pharmacy	Analytical / Qualification of drugs / Active principle to be explained in the methodology section.	Accepted with Recommendation
20.	Mr. Yabes Immanuel	Pharmacy	Re-submit the protocol with modification.	Not Accepted
21.	Ms. Jayalakshmi Venugopal	Pharmacy	Resubmit the proposal with Human Ethics Committee approval for patient management component.	Not Accepted
22.	Mr. Abin V Geevarghese	Pharmacy	Phase II and Phase III studies need more details.	Accepted with Recommendation
23.	Mr. Deepan N.	Pharmacy	Site Literature source for the selected plant.	Accepted with Recommendation
24.	Mr. G. Manas Kumar	Pharmacy	Resubmit with modification.	Not Accepted
25.	Ms. F. Sahayamary	Pharmacy	--	Accepted
26.	Ms. Rajeswari V.	Nursing	Suggested to – True Experimental Design - Setting to be modified (Either rural or urban) - Focus on Unique Intervention (Focus on one or Two related outcome) - Sample size to be concentrate - Instead of Experimental mention – Intervention group. - Appropriate scale should be checked and used. - Multi level sampling method to be explained.	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
27.	Ms. Sorna Daya Rani D.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suggest choosing a specific intervention for the study. If not it has to be modified as intervention development study and presented again. 2. To clearly explain the Qualitative design if using mixed method. 3. Suggest having one intervention and specific two or three outcomes. 4. Quantitative tool should be avoided in Qualitative method parts. 5. Need to look at sample size calculation for both Qualitative and Quantitative part. 	Accepted with Recommendation
28.	Ms. Hilda Rose Mary	Nursing	<p>To modify the study as – Factors associated and the effectiveness of Abdominal massage on selected outcome in critical care unit of selected Hospital.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To Modify objectives, Hypothesis and methods accordingly. 	Accepted with Recommendation
29.	Ms. Samundeeswari P.	Nursing	<p>To modify the study as – An evaluative study to determine the effectiveness of research translation interventions related to updated clinical VAP guidelines on competency of nurses and the selected clinical outcomes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To modify the objectives and hypothesis and methods, sampling accordingly. 	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
30.	Ms. Ambika R.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please remove survey from Qualitative part or justify how and why this is used. 2. Sample size for Qualitative to be rechecked or increase it to 20. 3. Use descriptive Qualitative study instead of Phenomenology. 4. Semi structured Questions for Qualitative study is needed. 5. Don't modify structured questionnaire. 6. Intervention – Consent to be obtained from parents. 	Accepted with Recommendation
31.	Ms. Hemalatha M.	Nursing	<p>The Proposal need to be changed as there is no specific intervention and the suggested intervention does not correlate with the outcome variable.</p> <p>Suggest revising as intervention development study Or Select an innovative intervention and check outcomes in Primi Babies.</p>	Not Accepted
32.	Ms. Priya Dorathy S.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change it as effectiveness of peer enhanced intervention related to management of menopause on Quality of Life and Bio physiological measurement of menopausal women. 2. To modify the objective, hypothesis and methodology based on this. 	Accepted with Recommendation

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
33.	Ms. Jayanthi L.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change it as Autogenic Training and Teaching sleep Hygiene, Bio-Chemical, Bio-Physiological parameters among adults with non-communicable disease at selected community setting. 2. Suggest – Stratified Random Sampling Technique. 3. Suggest – True Experimental study with Randomization. 	Accepted with Recommendation
34.	Ms. Sophia Selva Rani	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suggest Randomization and True Experimental group. 2. Definition need to be modified – Glaucoma support program and change dependant variable as compliance, QOL and visual acuity. 3. Check your analysis statistics. 	Accepted with Recommendations
35.	Ms. Merlin Manju Christina G.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change Intervention - Online support group. - (Change operational definition) 2. Intervention Delivery plan. 3. Sample size calculation may change based on this intervention. 4. Make it True Experimental Design. 	Accepted with Recommendations
36.	Ms. R. Suguna	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make it true Experimental study. 2. Include random sampling and Randomization. 3. Sample size calculation need to be given. 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
37.	Ms. Renuga V.	Nursing	Intervention - Instead of daily care, do thrice in a week according to need. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prefer – Random sampling and Randomization if possibility of no contamination. - Relook at sample size calculation. 	Accepted with Recommendations
38.	Ms. Jesus Grace Raj Lighty	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add Qualitative Research. Descriptive Qualitative study Either interview or focus group. 2. Refine methodology based on this. 3. Research design. Multimethod study. 4. Check and refine delimitation. 	Accepted with Recommendations
39.	Ms. Kanis Rexcilin Frida S.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need training for CBT. 2. Sample size calculation to be mentioned. 3. Type of sampling should be mentioned and Randomization and true experimental – suggested. 4. How are you going to screen for problem. 5. Focus on one intervention for C & B T. 6. Add one objectives → To assess the level of stress and sleep deprivation modify rest of the objectives. 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
40	Mr. Abednego Peter Johnson	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intervention → To focus on one intervention and one type of outcome (Vision in older people) – Please add comprehensive outcomes. → Therefore the proposal (Objectives, hypothesis, sampling, sample size calculation, data collection and analysis) need to be modified completely. 2. Objectives have to be modified for Effectiveness. 3. Change the term investigate to Evaluate. 	Accepted with Recommendations
41.	Ms. Angel Rajakumari G.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To modify as Effectiveness of Spousal presence and support on anxiety and birth outcome (Or) Nurses intervention on breathing. 2. Based on the topics / Title, Hypothesis, Objectives have to be changed. 3. Anxiety - Tool to be changed. 4. Birth outcomes - To be clear on 5. Use randomization and make it true Experimental study. 6. Use sample size calculation. 7. Mention how you will do sampling. 	Accepted with Recommendations
42.	Ms. Hamidhunniza A.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduce the exercise time – to minimum 30 minutes. 2. Visual Exercise Programme on perinatal outcomes 3. True Experimental post test only design. 4. Clarify Randomization. 5. Sample size calculation need to be mentioned. 6. Objectives and Hypothesis to change. 7. Data collection – Please make it clear. 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
43.	Mr. John Satheesh Mumar S.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objectives and Hypothesis need to be revised appropriately. 2. Suggest leaving out the muscle strength variable. 3. True Experimental study with Randomization. 4. Sample size calculation is needed. 	Accepted with Recommendations
44.	Mr. Gopalakrishnan N	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify as True Experimental study with Experimental group and control group. 2. Modify statement as follows: Efficacy of Swallowing and Tongue Exercise on Swallowing function and Oral Intake. 3. Modify your Objectives and Hypothesis. 4. Include a tool to assess Oral Intake. 	Accepted with Recommendations
45.	Ms. Bharatha Selvi G.	Nursing	---	Absent
46.	Ms. Ponnaruvi	Nursing	---	Absent
47.	Ms. Suganthakumari F.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify Objectives on Effectiveness. 2. Check Hypothesis. 3. Post assessment need to be done by some one else. 4. Sample size calculation formula to be calculated. 5. Suggest true Experimental study. 6. Plan Extending the Intervention and multiple measurement. 7. Change analysis method accordingly. 	Accepted with Recommendations
48.	Ms. Sudha S.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inclusion criteria – should include only patients on whom the revised intervention can be applied. 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
49.	Ms. Anusuya S	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify objectives - specifically objectives – 2. 2. Use random sampling to select participants. 3. Sample size calculation to correct. 4. Analysis to be revised. 5. Include explanation about teaching the family members (how and what) for the Intervention. 	Accepted with Recommendations
50.	Ms. Jessy Rani P.	Nursing	<p>- Modify as mixed methods study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include qualitative study on Experiences related to menstruation and associated factors of adolescent Girls. Descriptive qualitative → 15-20 students or 2 Focus group. 2. Teaching can include some of the finding in Quantitative. 3. Objectives and Research Design and analysis will have to be modified. 	Accepted with Recommendations
51.	Ms. Mahalakshmi G.	Nursing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interpersonal skills - Please use role play to show how we can communicate with each other. 2. Include Pre-adolescents. 3. To obtain permission from schools. 4. Get permission from authors for the scale. 5. Do multi stage sampling Technique . 6. Write data collection procedure clearly – Duration, Length, number of students. 	Accepted with Recommendations

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
52.	Ms. Sharon Gikku George	Physiotherapy	To include clear inclusion and exclusion criteria.	Accepted
53.	Ms. Y. Saranya	Physiotherapy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To include in the informed consent – To avoid weight loss programme when in the study. 2. Step height, step speed. 3. Gender differences be addressed. 	Accepted with Recommendations
54.	Mr. Hari Hara Sudan S.	Physiotherapy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To review other commonly used fall risk tools such as MORSE etc. 2. To clearly define trial patient – who need to be included in the study. 3. List the component of the tool (Subjective & Objective). 4. Re-look at the exclusion criteria ex-vertigo. 	Accepted with Recommendations
55.	Mr. B. Kumaran	Physiotherapy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study design is not clearly defined. 2. Too many variables to be addressed. 3. Established concept (as shared in the review by the candidate) 	Not Accepted
56.	Mr. Abel Juhan Thomas	Biomedical Sciences	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Infrastructure - Both hardware and software for dose calculation should be ensured, prior to initiation of the study. 	Accepted
57.	Ms. Kulkarni Sanika Mahesh Mansi	Biomedical Sciences	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Relook at the title of the study or the sampling method and distribution of the various pathotypes of Klebsiella samples. 	Accepted with Recommendations
58.	Ms. Tharani Priya T.	Biomedical Sciences	--	Accepted

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	FACULTY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
59.	Mr. Vignesh B.	Biomedical Sciences	1. Redefine the study period (4 to 3) as the Ph.D. programme is three years only. 2. Phenotypic detection of M-abscessus with other additional tests should be listed.	Accepted with Recommendations

REMARKS:

ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report along with Provisional Registration fees through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result.

ACCEPTED WITH

RECOMMENDATIONS : Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide (as per the format enclosed) within 30 working days from the date of publication of results. Further, the date and Session of the Provisional Registration will be fixed after the approval of your compliance report by the members of the Screening Committee

NOT ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit proposals (Four copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of result.

Dr. K. NARAYANASAMY
VICE-CHANCELLOR

/True Copy/

Sd/-
ACADEMIC OFFICER

Encl:
Compliance Format

Format for compliance to remarks of PhD Screening committee,

The Tamil Nadu Dr. MGR Medical University

Title of the Ph.D

Name of the candidate

Faculty

Compliance to remarks (PhD Screening Committee, dd/mm/yyyy)

#	Remarks	PhD Scholar's response	Page number(s) and Line number (s)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			